RECEIVED

DEC -9 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS P.O. BOX 1450 Organization_

IC 2600

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS **ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450**

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE _ Bldg./Room

KIM-500 201512056 1C03 14 12/01 FORWARD TIME EXP RTN TO SEND: FLESHNER & KIM LLP 2325 DULLES CORNER BLVD STE 1100 HERNDON VA 20171-4691 14 12/05/04 SEND

RETURN TO SENDER

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/518,695	03/03/2000	Seung-Hee Yi	P-053	7137
75	590 12/02/2004		EXAM	INER
FLESHNER &	•		ODLAND,	DAVID E
14500 AVION SUITE 125	PARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHANTILLY, VA 20151		2662		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/518,695	YI, SEUNG-HEE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .		
	David Odland	2662		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .			
	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16,18,19,23 and 24</u> is/are pending	in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	* ·			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16,18 and 19</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	n-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No		
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.		
••• • · · · · · ·				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 442)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/518,695

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The following is a response to the amendments filed on 07/13/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lazar et al. (USPN 5,953,316), hereafter referred to as Lazar.

Referring to claim 23, Lazar discloses 23. (New) A touting method for a signaling network, comprising: checking a link determination history to determine whether a message is to be routed based on a same link selection field of a routing label of a message as a previous link selection field (checking a name space cache to see if the route of an ATM cell was previously set up (see column 4)) and routing the message through the corresponding link from the link determination history when the message has been previously routed using the same link selection field (if there is a cache 'hit' then the cell is transmitted over the corresponding path (see column 4)) and routing the message through a next available link excluding the link from the link determination history when the message has not been previously routed using the same link

Application/Control Number: 09/518,695

Art Unit: 2662

selection field (if the is a cache 'miss' then the cell is routed over another path that is created (see column 4)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazar in view of Glitho (USPN 5,544,154), hereafter referred to as Glitho.

Referring to claim 24, Lazar discloses the system discussed above. Furthermore, Lazar discloses updating the history after routing (the space cache is updated every 10 seconds (see column 4)). Lazar does not disclose whether or not to route the message to a local system. However. Glitho discloses analyzing whether the final destination of the message is a local system based on a result of the analysis (the switching node looks at the address of the incoming message to determine the next place to send the messages which is the destination if it is a neighboring node to the destination (see figures 1 and 2 and columns 4 and 5)), transferring the switching node routes messages based on the address of the incoming message to determine the next place to send the messages which is the destination if it is a neighboring node to the destination (see figures 1 and 2 and columns 4 and 5)). It would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2662

skilled in the art at the time of the invention to implement this feature into Lazar because doing so would allow the system to determine if the cells are local and thus save processing time and resources since they will not transfer the cells on to a core router.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 13-16,18 and 19 are allowed.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Odland whose telephone number is (571) 272-3096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached at (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

deo

November 28, 2004

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination Application/Control No. 09/518,695 YI, SEUNG-HEE Notice of References Cited Examiner Art Unit Page 1 of 1 2662 David Odland

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*	·	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-5,953,316	09-1999	Lazar et al.	370/230
	В	US-			
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	Е	US-			-
	F	US-	, .		
	G	US-			
	Н	US-		~	
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	κ	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р	*				
	Ø					
	R					
	s	•			L	
	T					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

	TOTAL POSTILITIES					
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)				
	. ט					
	>					
	w					
	X					

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.